

ON AN INTERIOR COMPACTNESS OF ONE HOMOGENEOUS BOUNDARY – VALUE PROBLEM

Rustamova Lamiya Aladdin

*Institute of Applied Mathematics, Baku State University, Z. Khalilov 23,
AZ1148 Baku, Azerbaijan, e-mail: rustamova@bsu.az*

Abstract. *In the paper the conditions are obtained providing existence and uniqueness of the regular solution of the boundary problem for class of the second order homogeneous operator-differential equation with singular coefficients. High term of the equation contains the normal operator the spectrum of which is contained in the certain sectors.*

Further, it is proved the theorem of internal compactness of space of regular solutions of the considered problem.

Keywords *Normal operator, discontinuous coefficients, regular solvability, Hilbert space.*

We consider the boundary problem for homogeneous operator-differential equation in separable Hilbert space H

$$-\frac{d^2u}{dt^2} + \rho(t)A^2u + A_0\frac{d^2u}{dt^2} + A_1\frac{du}{dt} + A_2u = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$u(0) = \varphi, \quad (2)$$

where $\varphi \in H_{3/2}$, $u(t) \in W_2^2(R_+; H)$, $\rho(t)$ is the form of

$$\rho(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha^2, & t \in (0; 1), \\ \beta^2, & t \in (1; \infty), \end{cases} \quad \text{moreover } \alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \text{ operator coefficients } A \text{ and } A_j \ (j = 0, 1, 2) \text{ satisfy the following conditions}$$

1) A is normal, with quite continuous inverse A^{-1} operator, spectrum of which is contained in a corner sector,

$$S_\varepsilon = \{\lambda : |\arg \lambda| \leq \varepsilon\}, \quad 0 \leq \varepsilon < \pi/2;$$

2) Operators $B_j = A_j A^{-j}$ ($j = 0, 1, 2$) are bounded in H .

Denote by $L_2(R_+; H)$ a Hilbert space of the vector-functions $f(t)$ with values from H , measurable and integrable by square-law with norm

$$\|f\|_{L_2} = \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \|f(t)\|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

Further we introduce the space e.g. [1]

$$W_2^2(R_+; H) = \left\{ u(t) : u'', A^2u \in L_2(R_+; H), \|u\|_{W_2^2} = \left(\|u''\|_{L_2}^2 + \|A^2u\|_{L_2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\}.$$

Then from the trace theorem it results that

$$\overset{o}{W}_2^2(R_+; H; \{0\}) = \{u \mid u \in W_2^2(R_+; H), u(0) = 0\}.$$

Definition. If for any $\varphi \in H_{3/2}$ there exists the vector-function $u(t)$ which satisfies (1), and boundary condition (2) in the sense

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \|u(t) - \varphi\|_{3/2} = 0$$

also the estimation takes place

$$\|u\|_{W_2^2(R_+; H)} \leq \text{const} \|\varphi\|_{3/2},$$

then $u(t)$ is called a regular solution of the problem (1),(2), and the problem (1),(2) is called regular solvable.

We shall note that when the equations are not homogeneous and $A_0 = 1$, $\alpha = \beta = 1$ this problem is investigated in [2], when $A = A^* \geq cE$, $c > 0$, at $A_0 = 1$, $\alpha \neq \beta$ in [3]. When the equation is non homogeneous boundary problem (1),(2) is investigated [4] and resolvability of the equation (1) on all axis it is considered in [5].

First we shall consider the problem

$$P_o u = -\frac{d^2 u}{dt^2} + \rho(t) A^2 u = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$u(0) = \varphi. \quad (4)$$

Let's seek the regular solution of the problem (3), (4) in the form

$$u_0(t) = \begin{cases} e^{-\alpha t A} c_1 + e^{-\alpha(1-t)A} c_2, & t \in (0; 1), \\ e^{\beta A(1-t)} c_3, & t \in (1; \infty), \end{cases}$$

where c_1, c_2, c_3 - are unknown elements from $H_{3/2}$. From the condition (4) and inclusion $u_0(t) \in W_2^2(R_+; H)$ it is obtained the following system of the equations relatively c_1, c_2 and c_3 :

$$\begin{cases} c_1 + e^{-\alpha A} c_2 = \varphi, \\ e^{-\alpha A} c_1 + c_2 = c_3, \\ -\alpha A e^{-\alpha A} c_1 + \alpha A c_2 = -\beta A c_3, \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} c_1 + e^{-\alpha A} c_2 + 0 \cdot c_3 = \varphi, \\ e^{-\alpha A} c_1 + c_2 - c_3 = 0, \\ -\alpha e^{-\alpha A} c_1 + \alpha c_2 + \beta c_3 = 0, \end{cases}$$

or in an operational

$$\Delta_0(A)c = \tilde{\varphi},$$

where

$$\Delta_0(A) = \begin{vmatrix} E & e^{-\alpha A} & 0 \\ -e^{-\alpha A} & E & -E \\ -\alpha e^{-\alpha A} & \alpha E & \beta E \end{vmatrix},$$

$$c = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As we have shown $\Delta_0(A)$ that it is $H^3 = H \times H \times H$ (see [4]), therefore, we shall unequivocally define c_1, c_2 and c_3 . They belong $H_{3/2}$, as $\varphi \in H_{3/2}$. It is obvious, that

$$\|u_0(t)\|_{W_2^2(R_+; H)} \leq \text{const} \|\varphi\|_{3/2}.$$

Now we consider the boundary problem (1),(2). For this purpose we take

$$u(t) = \vartheta(t) + u_0(t).$$

Then we get the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{d^2 \vartheta(t)}{dt^2} + \rho(t)A^2 \vartheta(t) + A_0 \frac{d^2 \vartheta(t)}{dt^2} + A_1 \frac{d \vartheta(t)}{dt} + A_2 \vartheta(t) - \\ & -\frac{d^2 u_0(t)}{dt^2} + \rho(t)A^2 u_0(t) + A_0 \frac{d^2 u_0(t)}{dt^2} + A_1 \frac{du_0(t)}{dt} + A_2 u_0(t) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\vartheta(0) = 0.$$

As

$$-\frac{d^2 u_0(t)}{dt^2} + \rho(t)A^2 u_0(t) = 0,$$

$$-\frac{d^2 \vartheta(t)}{dt^2} + \rho(t)A^2 \vartheta(t) + A_0 \frac{d^2 \vartheta(t)}{dt^2} + A_1 \frac{d \vartheta(t)}{dt} + A_2 \vartheta(t) = g(t),$$

$$\vartheta(0) = 0,$$

where

$$g(t) = -A_0 \frac{d^2 u_0(t)}{dt^2} - A_1 \frac{du_0(t)}{dt} - A_2 u_0(t).$$

As operators $A_j A^{-j}$ ($j = \overline{0, 2}$) are bounded

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(t)\|_{L_2(R_+;H)} &\leq \|A_0\| \left\| \frac{d^2 u_0}{dt^2} \right\|_{L_2(R_+;H)} + \\ &+ \|A_1 A^{-1}\| \left\| A \frac{du_0}{dt} \right\|_{L_2(R_+;H)} + \|A_2 A^{-2}\| \|A^2 u_0\|_{L_2(R_+;H)}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying , the theorem of intermediate derivatives, [1] we have

$$\|g(t)\|_{L_2(R_+;H)} \leq \text{const} \|u_0\|_{W_2^2(R_+;H)} \leq \text{const} \|\varphi\|_{3/2}.$$

Thus, we have reduced a boundary problem (1),(2) to the non homogeneous boundary problem with a zero boundary condition. Thus following theorem is valid.

Theorem 1. Let the operator A satisfies the condition 1), but operators $B_j = A_j A^{-j}$ ($j = 0, 1$) satisfy condition 2) , moreover where numbers $c_j(\varepsilon; \alpha; \beta)$ are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} c_0(\varepsilon; \alpha; \beta) &= \frac{1}{\min(\alpha^2; \beta^2)} \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq \varepsilon < \pi/4, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \cos \varepsilon}, & \pi/4 \leq \varepsilon < \pi/2. \end{cases} \\ c_1(\varepsilon; \alpha; \beta) &= \frac{1}{2 \cos \varepsilon \min(\alpha; \beta)}, \quad 0 \leq \varepsilon < \pi/2, \\ c_2(\varepsilon; \alpha; \beta) &= \frac{\max(\alpha; \beta)}{\min(\alpha^2; \beta^2)} \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \pi/4, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \cos \varepsilon}, & \pi/4 \leq \varepsilon < \pi/2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then boundary problem (1), (2) is regularly solvable.

Now we shall study one property of homogeneous regular solutions. Let numbers a, a_1, b_1, b be such, that

$$0 < a < a_1 < b_1 < b < \infty.$$

Denote by $N(P)$ the space of regular solutions of the boundary problem (1), (2). It is obvious, that $N(P)$ - linear full subspace in $W_2^2(R_+; H)$. Really, if $u_n(t) \rightarrow u(t)$ in $W_2^2(R_+; H)$ and $P(d/dt)u_n(t) = 0$ ($u_n(t) \in N(P)$),

$$\|P(d/dt)(u(t) - u_n(t))\| \leq \text{const} \|u(t) - u_n(t)\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Then $\|P(d/dt)u(t)\| = 0$, i.e. $P(d/dt)u(t) = 0$, hence $u(t) \in N(P)$. It is obvious, that $N(P) \subset W_2^1(R_+; H)$.

Definition 2. If a, a_1, b_1, b are such, as $0 < a < a_1 < b_1 < b < \infty$, $M > 0$, the set $\{u | u \in N(P), \|u\|_{W_2^1((a,b);H)} \leq M\}$ is compact on norm $\|u\|_{W_2^1((a_1,b_1);H)}$ we say speak, that space of regular solutions of the problem (1), (2) is internally compact.

We note, that definition of internal compactness for the first time has entered P.D.Laks [6]. At different situations of interior compactness of solutions the

considered works [7, 8]. Following P.D.Laks's [6] work, we have entered concept of interior compactness of the solutions of the homogeneous equations.

Takes place

Theorem 2. A condition of the theorem 1 let satisfied. Then the space of regular solutions of a problem (1), (2) is internally compact.

Proof. Let $0 < a < a_1 < b_1 < b < \infty$ and scalar function $\varphi(t) \in C_0^\infty(a, b)$, is such, that

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \in (a_1, b_1), \\ 0, & t \geq b, \quad t \leq a. \end{cases}$$

Then it is obvious, that for vector functions $\varphi(t) u(t) \in \overset{o}{W}_2^2(R_+; H; 0)$ and $u(t) = 0$ at $t \leq a$ and $t \geq b$.

As we have proved, that at performance of conditions of the theorem the following inequality takes place:

$$\|P(d/dt) \varphi u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)} \geq \text{const} \|\varphi u\|_{W_2^2(R_+; H)}$$

For all $u \in \overset{o}{W}_2^2(R_+; H; 0)$ (see [4], the theorem 2).

From here we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| -\frac{d^2 \varphi(t) u(t)}{dt^2} + \rho(t) \varphi(t) A^2 u(t) + A_0 \frac{d^2 \varphi(t) u(t)}{dt^2} + A_1 \frac{d \varphi(t) u(t)}{dt} + A_2 \varphi(t) u(t) \right\|_{L_2(R_+; H)} \geq \\ & \geq \text{const} \|\varphi u\|_{W_2^2(R_+; H)}. \end{aligned}$$

As

$$\begin{aligned} P(d/dt) \varphi(t) u(t) &= -\frac{d^2 \varphi(t) u(t)}{dt^2} + \rho(t) \varphi(t) A^2 u(t) + A_0 \frac{d^2 \varphi(t) u(t)}{dt^2} + \\ &+ A_1 \frac{d \varphi(t) u(t)}{dt} + A_2 \varphi(t) u(t) = -\frac{d^2 \varphi(t)}{dt^2} u(t) - 2 \frac{d \varphi(t)}{dt} \frac{du(t)}{dt} - \varphi(t) \frac{d^2 u(t)}{dt^2} + \\ &+ \rho(t) \varphi(t) A^2 u(t) + A_0 \left(\frac{d^2 \varphi(t)}{dt^2} u(t) + 2 \frac{d \varphi(t)}{dt} \frac{du(t)}{dt} + \frac{d^2 u(t)}{dt^2} \varphi(t) \right) + \\ &+ A_1 \left(\frac{d \varphi(t)}{dt} u(t) + \frac{du(t)}{dt} \varphi(t) \right) + A_2 \varphi(t) u(t) = \\ &= \varphi(t) \left(-\frac{d^2 u(t)}{dt^2} + \rho(t) A^2 u(t) + A_0 \frac{d^2 u(t)}{dt^2} + A_1 \frac{du(t)}{dt} + A_2 u(t) \right) + \\ &+ \left(-\frac{d^2 \varphi(t)}{dt^2} u(t) - 2 \frac{d \varphi(t)}{dt} \frac{du(t)}{dt} + A_0 \left(\frac{d^2 \varphi(t)}{dt^2} u(t) + 2 \frac{d \varphi(t)}{dt} \frac{du(t)}{dt} \right) + A_1 \frac{d \varphi(t)}{dt} u(t) \right). \end{aligned}$$

As $\varphi(t) = 0$ at $t \geq b, t \leq a$ and $u(t)$ - the regular decision,

$$P(d/dt) u(t) = -\frac{d^2 u(t)}{dt^2} + \rho(t) A^2 u(t) + A_0 \frac{d^2 u(t)}{dt^2} + A_1 \frac{du(t)}{dt} + A_2 u(t) = 0$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|P(d/dt)u(t)\|_{L_2(R_+;H)} = \left\| -\frac{d^2\varphi(t)}{dt^2}u(t) - 2\frac{d\varphi(t)}{dt}\frac{du(t)}{dt} + \right. \\
& + A_0\left(\frac{d^2\varphi(t)}{dt^2}u(t) + 2\frac{d\varphi(t)}{dt}\frac{du(t)}{dt}\right) + A_1\frac{d\varphi(t)}{dt}u(t) \left. \right\|_{L_2(R_+;H)} \geq \\
& \geq \text{const} \|\varphi u\|_{W_2^2(R_+;H)} = \text{const} \|\varphi u\|_{W_2^2((a;b);H)} \geq \\
& \geq \text{const} \|\varphi u\|_{W_2^2((a_1;b_1);H)} = \text{const} \|u\|_{W_2^2((a_1;b_1);H)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u\|_{W_2^2((a_1;b_1);H)} \leq \left\| -\frac{d^2\varphi(t)}{dt^2}u(t) - 2\frac{d\varphi(t)}{dt}\frac{du(t)}{dt} + \right. \\
& + A_0\left(\frac{d^2\varphi(t)}{dt^2}u(t) + 2\frac{d\varphi(t)}{dt}\frac{du(t)}{dt}\right) + A_1\frac{d\varphi(t)}{dt}u(t) \left. \right\|_{L_2(R_+;H)} \leq \\
& \leq \text{const} \|u(t)\|_{L_2((a;b);H)} + \text{const} \left\| \frac{du(t)}{dt} \right\|_{L_2((a;b);H)} + \text{const} \|A_0u(t)\|_{L_2((a;b);H)} + \\
& + \text{const} \left\| A_0\frac{du(t)}{dt} \right\|_{L_2((a;b);H)} + \text{const} \|A_1u(t)\|_{L_2((a;b);H)} \leq \\
& \leq \text{const} \left(\|u\|_{L_2((a;b);H)} + \left\| \frac{du(t)}{dt} \right\|_{L_2((a;b);H)} + \|A_0\| \|u\|_{L_2((a;b);H)} + \right. \\
& + \|A_0\| \left\| \frac{du(t)}{dt} \right\|_{L_2^2((a;b);H)} + \|A_1A^{-1}\| \|Au\|_{L_2((a;b);H)} \left. \right) \leq \text{const} \|u\|_{W_2^1((a;b);H)} \leq M.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for anyone $0 < a < a_1 < b_1 < b$ we have:

$$\|u\|_{W_2^2((a_1;b_1);H)} \leq \text{const} \|u\|_{W_2^1((a;b);H)} \leq M.$$

As $u \in N(P)$, the set $\{u \mid \|u\|_{W_2^2((a_1;b_1);H)}, u \in N(P)\}$ is limited. From quite continuity of the operator A^{-1} follows, that the space $W_2^2((a_1, b_1); H)$ is enclosed in space $W_2^1((a_1, b_1); H)$ compactly, i.e.

$$W_2^2((a_1, b_1); H) \subset W_2^1((a_1, b_1); H)$$

compactly. Hence, $N(P)$ - compact set of century $W_2^1((a_1, b_1); H)$. Thus, we have proved internal compactness of decisions of a problem (1), (2). The theorem is proved.

References

1. Lions ZH.-L., Madzhenes E. Non homogeneous boundary – value problems and their . M., Mir , 1971,371 p.
2. Mirzoev S.S. The problems to theory to solvability of the boundaryvalue problems for operator -differential of the equations in Hilbert space and spectral problems connected with them. The Thesis on competition disert. of uch.step.dokt.fiz.-mat. Sciences.Baku 1993, 229 p.
3. Mirzoev S.S., Aliev A.R. On one boundary-value problem for operator-differential equations of the second order with discontinuous coefficient. The Works of the Institute Mat. and Mech. AN ., VI (XIV),Baku, 1997, p.p.117-121.
4. Mirzoyev S.S., Rustamova L.A. On solvability of on boundary-value problem for operator - differential equations of the second order with discontinuous coefficient //An International Journal of Applied and Computational Mathematics, 2006, v. 5, 2, p.191-200.
5. Rustamova L.A. On regular solvability of one class operator - differential equations of the second order. BGU , Vestnik BGU , 2005,1, p.p.43-51.
6. Lax P.D. A Phragmen-Lindelf theorem in harmonic analysis and its application to some questions in the theory of elliptic equations // Comm.Pure Appl.Math., 10 (1957), pp.361- 389.
7. P. Koosis, *Interior compact spaces of functions on a half-line*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 10 (1957), pp. 583-615.
8. D. Baranov , Interior-compact subspaces and differentiation in model subspaces, Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Volume 139, Number 2 , 2006, pp. 6369-6373